

## Solutions for Exercise sheet 4

### Inequalities, Borel-Cantelli Lemma and Kolmogorov 0-1 law

#### Solution for Exercise 4.1

(i)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y^2] &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(Y^2 > t) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(Y > \sqrt{t}) dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{P}(X_1 > \sqrt{t})^2 dt \\ &= 1 + \int_1^\infty \mathbb{P}(X_1 > \sqrt{t})^2 dt \\ &\leq 1 + \int_1^\infty \mathbb{P}(X_1 > \sqrt{t}) \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_1]}{\sqrt{t}} dt \\ &\leq 1 + \mathbb{E}[X_1] \int_1^\infty \mathbb{P}(X_1 > \sqrt{t}) dt \\ &\leq 1 + \mathbb{E}[X_1]^2. \end{aligned}$$

where in the third line we used the fact that  $Y > x$  iff both  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are  $> x$ , and in the fifth line we used Markov inequality.

(ii) Notice that  $\{Y^2 > t\} = \{\exists X_i, X_j : X_i > t \text{ and } X_j > t\}$ . Considering all the combinations that we have for choosing the two indexes  $i$  and  $j$ , we get:

$$\mathbb{P}(Y^2 > t) \leq \binom{n}{2} \mathbb{P}(X_1 > t, X_2 > t) = \text{const.} P(X_1 > t)^2.$$

The required solution follows then as in (i).

#### Solution for Exercise 4.2

For any  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$P(X_n > (1 \pm \varepsilon) \log n) = n^{-(1 \pm \varepsilon)}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n > (1 + \varepsilon) \log n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(1+\varepsilon)} < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(X_n > (1 - \varepsilon) \log n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(1-\varepsilon)} = \infty.$$

By the first and the second Borel-Cantelli lemmas (we can apply the second Borel-Cantelli because  $X_i$ 's are independent), we conclude that, almost surely,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log n} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$$

and

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log n} \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_n}{\log n} = 1.$$

### Solution for Exercise 4.3

- (i)  $A$  is NOT a tail-event. Loosely speaking, it could be important to know the first  $n$  outcomes (for a fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) in order to know whether  $A$  happens or not. As an example take the sequence  $\omega = (+1, -1, +1, -1, +1, -1, \dots)$ . Clearly  $\omega \in A$ . But if we take  $\tilde{\omega} = (+1, +1, +1, -1, +1, -1, +1, -1, \dots)$ , we have  $\tilde{\omega} \notin A$ . Observe that  $\omega$  and  $\tilde{\omega}$  agree from the third coordinate on, so that it is clear that  $A \notin \sigma(X_3, X_4, \dots)$ .
- (ii) Because of (a), it is not possible to use Kolmogorov 0-1 law, in this case. We show that, nevertheless,  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ .

$$\mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0) = \binom{2n}{n} p^n (1-p)^n = (4p(1-p))^n \binom{2n}{n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \leq (4p(1-p))^n,$$

where we have used the fact that  $\binom{2n}{n} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \leq 1$ , being just the probability of a particular outcome of a  $\text{Binom}(2n, \frac{1}{2})$ . Also note that  $(4p(1-p)) < 1$ .

We are now ready to use the first Borel-Cantelli Lemma:

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(S_n = 0) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}(S_{2n} = 0) \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (4p(1-p))^n < \infty,$$

hence  $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ .

### Solution for Exercise 4.4

The statement is not in contrast with the theorem, since the general independence has been substituted with pairwise independence. Here is a counterexample: Toss 3 independent fair coins and consider the events  $A_1 =$  "The first and the second coin have the show the same side",  $A_2 =$  "The second and the third coin have the show the same side",

$A_3$  = "The first and the third coin have the show the same side".  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  are pairwise independent ( $\frac{1}{4} = \mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(A_2) = \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2) = \mathbb{P}(\text{all the coins show the same side}) = \frac{1}{4}$ ). Now consider, for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , the sigma algebras  $\mathcal{A}_i = \sigma(A_i)$ . Clearly the  $\mathcal{A}_i$ 's are pairwise independent  $\sigma$ -algebras. But we claim that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is not independent from  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3)$ . In fact, the latter  $\sigma$ -algebra contains the event  $B = A_2 \cup A_3$ , but  $A_1$  and  $B$  are not independent:

$$\frac{1}{4} = \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cup B) \neq \mathbb{P}(A_1)\mathbb{P}(B) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{8}.$$