

Solution to problem 1:

Given:  $\mu_n = \mathbb{P} X_n^{-1} \in M_1(\mathbb{R})$ .  $\mu_n \sim \text{LDP}$  with speed  $n$  and rate function  $I$  s.t.

$I$  is convex and  $\{I=0\} = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Fix  $\delta > 0$  & take  $G_\delta = B_\delta(x_0)$  ~~closed~~ <sup>an open</sup> ball of radius  $\delta > 0$  around  $x_0$ .

By the LDP upper bound,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \mu_n(G_\delta) \leq - \inf_{x \in G_\delta^c} I(x) =: -c$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall n \text{ large enough, } \mu_n(B_\delta(x_0)^c) \leq e^{-nc}$$

Now, claim:  $c > 0$ .

Suppose not. Assume, without loss of generality that  $x_0 = 0$ . So,  $\inf_{x: |x| \geq \delta} I(x) = 0$ .

Then,  $\exists x_2 \in B_\delta(0)^c$  s.t.  $I(x_2) < \frac{I(\delta)}{2}$ .

Now,  $\forall 0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $\exists x_1 = x_1(\varepsilon)$  s.t.  $\delta = \varepsilon x_1 + (1-\varepsilon)x_2$  for some  $x_1$  s.t.  $x_1 \in B_\delta(0)$ .

$$\text{Then } \varepsilon I(x_1) + (1-\varepsilon)I(x_2) < \varepsilon I(x_1) + (1-\varepsilon) \frac{I(\delta)}{2}$$

But, ~~again~~ ~~bec~~ for ~~some~~ some  $\eta \in (0,1)$

$I(x_1) < \eta I(\delta)$  (because of convexity &  $I(0) = 0$ ).

$$\Rightarrow \varepsilon I(x_1) + (1-\varepsilon)I(x_2) < I(\delta) \left[ \varepsilon \eta + \frac{1-\varepsilon}{2} \right] < I(\delta).$$

This ~~contradict~~ contradicts the fact

(2)

that  $I$  is convex (since  $\delta = \epsilon x_1 + (1-\epsilon)x_2$ ).

Hence,

$$\sum_{n \text{ large}} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - x_0| \geq \delta) \leq \underbrace{\sum_{n \text{ large}} e^{-n^{\beta} c}}_{\text{& } c > 0.}$$

$$\mathbb{B} < \infty.$$

⊗

By Borel-Cantelli lemma, we conclude that

$$\otimes \quad X_n \rightarrow x_0 \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$$

Exercise Sheet-3 (Solutions):

(2)

~~Aufgabe 2~~ Exercise 2:

(i) Need examples for  $\mu, \nu \in M_1(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.

$$H(\mu|\nu) \neq H(\nu|\mu)$$

Take  $\mu = \delta_0, \nu = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_0 + \delta_1)$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mu \ll \nu & \Rightarrow \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } x=0 \\ 0 & \text{for } x=1 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} \nu \not\ll \mu & \Rightarrow H(\nu|\mu) = +\infty \end{cases}$$

(ii)  $d(\mu, \nu) := \frac{1}{2}(H(\mu|\nu) + H(\nu|\mu))$

Clearly  $d(\cdot, \cdot) \geq 0$ , equals zero iff  $\mu = \nu$  &  $d(\mu, \nu) = d(\nu, \mu)$

Will show that the triangle inequality fails.

Take  $S = \{0, 1\}$  & define  $\pi_\alpha \in M_1(S)$  by

$$\pi_\alpha = \alpha \delta_0 + (1-\alpha) \delta_1 \quad \text{for } \alpha \in (0, 1)$$

$$\frac{d\pi_\alpha}{d\pi_\beta}(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha/\beta & \text{for } x=0 \\ (1-\alpha)/(1-\beta) & \text{for } x=1 \end{cases}$$

[Check!]

$$\Rightarrow 2d(\pi_\alpha, \pi_\beta) = (\alpha-\beta) \log\left(\frac{\alpha(1-\beta)}{\beta(1-\alpha)}\right)$$

We take  $\nu := \pi_{9/10}, \mu := \pi_{1/10}, \xi := \pi_{1/2}$

$$2d(\nu, \mu) = 4/5 \log(81)$$

$$2d(\nu, \xi) = 2/5 \log(9)$$

$$2d(\xi, \mu) = 2/5 \log(9)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(\nu, \mu) > d(\nu, \xi) + d(\xi, \mu)$$

Triangle inequality fails.

gabe 4 : ~~to~~  $X$  Polish &  $\nu \in M_1(X)$  &  $f \in B_b(X)$ .  
a  $f$  bounded Borel measurable.

Need to prove :  $-\log \int e^{-f} d\nu = \inf_{\mu \in M_1(X)} \left\{ H(\mu|\nu) + \int f d\mu \right\}$

Define,  $\nu_f \in M_1(X)$  by setting

$$\frac{d\nu_f}{d\mu}$$

$$\frac{d\nu_f}{d\mu} = e^{-f} / \int e^{-f} d\mu$$

$$\text{ie } d\nu_f = \frac{e^{-f}}{\int e^{-f} d\mu} d\mu$$

$$H(\mu|\nu) = \int \log \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\nu} \right) d\mu$$

$$= \int \log \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\nu_f} \cdot \frac{d\nu_f}{d\nu} \right) d\mu$$

$$= \underbrace{\int \log \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\nu_f} \right) d\mu}_{= H(\mu|\nu_f)} + \int \log \left( \frac{d\nu_f}{d\nu} \right) d\mu$$

$$H(\mu/\nu) \geq \int \log \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\nu} \right) d\mu. \quad (4)$$

$$= \int \log \left( e^{-f} / \mathbb{E}_\nu(e^{-f}) \right) d\mu$$

$$= - \int f d\mu - \log \mathbb{E}_\nu(e^{-f})$$

$$= - \int f d\mu - \log \int e^{-f} d\nu \quad \forall \mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$$

$$\Rightarrow \inf_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)} \left\{ H(\mu/\nu) + \int f d\mu \right\} \geq - \log \int e^{-f} d\nu$$

For the inequality in the other direction,  
take  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$  such that

$$\frac{d\mu}{d\nu} = e^{-f} / \mathbb{E}_\nu(e^{-f}) \quad (*) \quad (\text{Note that } f \in B_b(X) \text{ is fixed}).$$

$$\Rightarrow H(\mu/\nu) = - \int f d\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow H(\mu/\nu) + \int f d\mu = 0.$$

On the other hand, for such a  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$  as in

$$(*), \quad - \log \int \frac{d\mu}{d\nu} d\nu = 0$$

$$= - \log \int e^{-f} d\nu$$

clearly, the equality holds & the infimum is achieved at  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(X)$  with

$$\left[ \frac{d\mu}{d\nu} = e^{-f} / \mathbb{E}_\nu(e^{-f}) \right]$$

**Solution 4.** There is more than one way to do this. One way is as follows. Note that, we can restrict the infimum to all  $\nu \ll \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  (otherwise the relative entropy is infinity). Let  $\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(\nu|N(0, 1)) &= \int \log\left(\frac{d\nu}{dN(0, 1)}\right) d\nu \\
 &= H(\nu|\lambda) - \int \log\left(\frac{dN(0, 1)}{d\lambda}\right) d\nu \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int x^2 \nu(dx) \quad \text{by non-negativity of entropy and some calculation} \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int x \nu(dx)\right)^2 \quad \text{by Jensen.}
 \end{aligned}$$

To prove the other bound, we indeed show that the infimum is attained at some  $\nu$  with mean  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ . We simply take

$$\nu = \mathcal{N}(z, 1)$$

Check that,

$$\frac{dN(z, 1)}{dN(0, 1)}(x) = \exp\left\{xz - \frac{1}{2}z^2\right\}$$

leading to

$$H(\nu|N(0, 1)) = \frac{1}{2}z^2.$$